

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,746	03/15/2004	Klaus Kaiser	Bayer 10271-WCG	3450	
27386	7590 05/16/2007	D. A	EXAMINER		
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 875 THIRD AVE			NGUYEN, KIET TUAN		
18TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	N 1 10022		2881		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/801,746	KAISER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kiet T. Nguyen	2881			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili - If NO period for reply is specified aboth a failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. we, the maximum statutory period we inded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this (NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	()					
1)⊠ Responsive to commu 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 3)☐ Since this application	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	·	e merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 8) ☐ Claim(s) are su Application Papers	n(s) is/are withdrawallowed. ejected. objected to. ubject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
	is/are: a) accest that any objection to the eneet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 2881

The restriction requirement is now withdrawn because applicant's remarks rebutting the restriction requirement have been found to be persuasive. Therefore, all claims 1-28 are now considered.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6 and 28 provide for the use of a process, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 12 has not been further treated on the merits.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2479. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-6.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KN

PRIMARY EXAMINER